Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Power Sources



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Carbon-coated SiO₂ nanoparticles as anode material for lithium ion batteries

Yu Yao, Jingjing Zhang, Leigang Xue, Tao Huang, Aishui Yu*

Department of Chemistry, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative Materials, Institute of New Energy, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 April 2011 Received in revised form 24 June 2011 Accepted 2 August 2011 Available online 9 August 2011

Keywords: Silica Lithium ion batteries Anode material Carbon coating

ABSTRACT

A simple approach is proposed to prepare C-SiO₂ composites as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. In this novel approach, nano-sized silica is soaked in sucrose solution and then heat treated at 900 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis shows that SiO₂ is embedded in amorphous carbon matrix. The electrochemical test results indicate that the electrochemical performance of the C-SiO₂ composites relates to the SiO₂ content of the composite. The C-SiO₂ composite with 50.1% SiO₂ shows the best reversible lithium storage performance. It delivers an initial discharge capacity of $536 \,\mathrm{mAh \, g^{-1}}$ and good cyclability with the capacity of above 500 mAh g⁻¹ at 50th cycle. Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) indicates that the carbon layer coated on SiO₂ particles can diminish interfacial impedance, which leads to its good electrochemical performance.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Lithium-ion battery (LIB) with high energy density is in great demand as an energy source for many applications. Carbon anode material has the advantages of long cycle life and low cost, however, its low Lithium-storage capacity cannot satisfy future requirements of the electronic devices and electric vehicles. Silicon and some metals (e.g. Sn, Si, Sb) which could alloy with lithium have higher theoretical specific capacity. These materials were exploited as anode materials to replace the current carbon-based materials [1-8], but they have disadvantages of poor mechanical and cycling stability caused by drastic volume changes in charge and discharge processes. To solve this problem, metallic oxides and metallic oxide-based glasses have been proposed as alternative anode materials. Lithium insertion into metal oxides produces an inert Li₂O matrix, which could support and disperse active metal domains. The matrix can greatly decrease the volume change effect and improve the cyclability [9–15]. It was reported that silicon monoxide (SiO) has a reversible capacity greatly higher than carbon materials [16-18]. The Si-C-O material was also prepared and showed high reversible capacity [19,20]. Gao et al. claimed that commercial SiO₂ nanoparticles (7 nm in diameter) can react with Li between 0.0 and 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) with a reversible capacity of 400 mAh g⁻¹ [21]. Guo et al. prepared a HC-SiO₂ composite and their tests proved the electrochemical reduction of nano-SiO₂ and the formation of Li₄SiO₄ and Li₂O as well as Si in the first-discharge process [22].

In this work, carbon-coated SiO₂ was prepared by simply soaking the nano-SiO₂ in sucrose solution, followed by drying and carbonization in an inert atmosphere. The pyrolysis product of sucrose coating on SiO₂ particle formed a hard-carbon layer and then the C-SiO₂ composites were investigated as anode materials for lithium ion battery.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of C-SiO₂ composites

Sucrose was dissolved in deionized water with continuous stirring. Subsequently, different quantities of SiO₂ nanoparticles (7 nm in diameter, AEROSIL) were added into the sucrose solution. The weight ratio of SiO₂ to sucrose was ranging from 5:8 to 1:8. The mixture was then steadily agitated to ensure that SiO₂ disperses throughout the solution and evaporating water at 60 °C to give a solid blend. The mixture was heated at 900 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere for 3h and cooled down to room temperature naturally. The carbon-coated SiO₂ nanoparticles with various carbon contents were obtained.

2.2. Characterization

The content of carbon in the composite was determined from the ignition loss of the sample at 800 °C in air with a thermo gravimetric and differential thermal (TGA/DTA) apparatus (DTG-60H, Shimadz). Fourier-transform infrared reflection (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadz IRPrestige-21 FTIR spectrometer using KBr pellet. The morphology of as-prepared carbon-coated SiO₂ was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FE-SEMS-4800,

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 21 51630320; fax: +86 21 51630320. E-mail address: asyu@fudan.edu.cn (A. Yu).

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.08.009



Fig. 1. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy of carbon coated SiO_2 particles with different carbon contents.

Hitachi) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was performed on a Brüker D8 Advance and Davinci Design X-ray diffractometer using Cu K α radiation with a λ of 1.5406 Å at a scan rate of 4° min⁻¹ from 10° to 90°.

2.3. Electrochemical measurement

The electrochemical performance of the as-prepared carboncoated SiO₂ materials was evaluated using coin cells assembled in an argon-filled glove box (Mikarouna, Superstar 1220/750/900). An assembled coin cell was composed of lithium as the counter electrode and the working electrode prepared by active materials, super P carbon black and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) with a weight ratio of 80:10:10, using 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) as the solvent onto a copper foil. The resulting film was dried at 80 °C in vacuum for 12 h in order to evaporate the NMP. 1 M LiPF₆ was dissolved in a mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 in weight) to act as the electrolyte and microporous polypropylene film (Celgard 2300) was used as separator. The total mass of active electrode material is about 4 mg and electrode surface area is 1.54 cm^2 ($\phi 14 \text{ mm}$). The electrochemical performance of the as-prepared materials was tested by cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatically charging and discharging tests and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Cyclic voltammetry was carried out in the potential range from open-circuit potential (OCP) to 0 V (vs. Li/Li^+), at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ on an electrochemical workstation (CH Instrument 660A, CHI Company). The galvanostatic charge-discharge tests were performed on a battery test system (Land CT2001A, Wuhan Jinnuo Electronic Co. Ltd.) at a constant current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ in the potential range from 0 to 3.0 V. EIS measurements were measured for the fresh cells at open potential with an ac amplitude of 5 mV over the frequency range from 100k to 0.01 Hz.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 is the FTIR spectra of carbon-coated SiO_2 nanoparticles with different carbon contents. There are three characteristic peaks attributed to SiO_2 . The peaks at 1102, 798, 472 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to asymmetry Si–O–Si bond stretching, SiO_4 tetrahedron ring, and O–Si–O bond deformation, respectively. With the reduction of initial ratio of SiO_2 , the intensity of the three peaks decreased.

Thermal gravimetric results (shown in Table 1) give the actual content of SiO_2 in the C-SiO_2 composites. Compared with carbon-coated SiO_2 nanoparticles, same proportion of carbon black (super P) which is similar to hard carbon pyrolysis from sucrose was mixed with SiO_2 nanoparticles by milling. Electrochemical test of both

Table 1

Electrochemical performance of the carbon coated SiO_2 and super P carbon black mixed SiO_2 .

SiO ₂ :sucrose	Actual SiO ₂ content (wt.%)	First discharge capacity of carbon coated SiO ₂ (mAh g ⁻¹)	First discharge capacity of carbon mixed with same proportion SiO ₂ (mAh g ⁻¹)
5:8	81.0	480.1	67.7
4:8	69.5	476.8	107.0
3:8	64.1	519.9	112.6
2:8	50.1	535.9	137.2
1:8	35.8	357.3	176.9

materials have been done and their first discharge capacity data are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that first discharge capacity of carbon-coated SiO₂ nanoparticles increased slightly with the increase of carbon. But when the content of SiO₂ is lower than 50%, the capacity begins to decrease. The sample with 50.1% SiO₂ exhibits the highest specific capacity. For super P carbon black mixed SiO₂ nanoparticles, the first discharge capacity increases with the decreasing of SiO₂ content, but is lower than the corresponding carbon-coated ones.

Fig. 2a displays SEM images of the pure SiO_2 nanoparticles. The diameter of single particle is about 10 nm. Fig. 2b shows the carbon-coated SiO_2 nanoparticles with the content of SiO_2 content of 50.1%.



Fig. 2. SEM images of SiO_2 nanoparticles (a) and carbon coated SiO_2 nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO_2 (b).



Fig. 3. TEM image of carbon coated SiO₂ nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO₂.

It can be seen that the diameter of SiO_2 particles increases to 20 nm which could be due to the carbon coating layer.

Fig. 3 shows TEM images of the carbon-coated SiO_2 nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO_2 . It can be clearly seen that the SiO_2 particles are coated with carbon. The dark area in centre is the SiO_2 particle, with diameter of about 10 nm, which is consistent with the result of SEM image.

Fig. 4a shows XRD pattern of pure SiO₂ nanoparticles. The broad band indicates that the structure of SiO₂ nanoparticles is amorphous. Fig. 3b gives XRD pattern of carbon-coated SiO₂ nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO₂. The wide peaks at about 22° (002), 43° (100) are attributed to the carbon layer coated on SiO₂ nanoparticles and the structure of carbon layer is also amorphous. There is no peak of silicon could be found, which manifests SiO₂ was not reduced to Si. It was reported that SiO₂ cannot be reduced to glass-like compounds such as SiO_{2- δ} or Si-C-O by carbon at 1000°C [22].

Impedance experiments were applied to explore the effect of carbon layer coated on SiO_2 nanoparticles on the interfacial impedance of C-SiO₂ composite. Fig. 5 shows Nyquist plots of the carbon-coated SiO₂ particles and the carbon black mixed SiO₂ particles before cycling. The semicircle shows that interfacial impedance become smaller with the reduction of SiO₂ content, which means SiO₂ particles are coated more uniformly by carbon [23]. The inter-



Fig. 4. XRD patterns of SiO $_2$ nanoparticles (a) and carbon coated SiO $_2$ nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO $_2$ (b).



Fig. 5. Electrochemical impedance spectra of carbon coated SiO₂ nanoparticles (a) and super P black mixed SiO₂ nanoparticles (b).

facial impedance of carbon coated SiO_2 (Fig. 5a) is lower than the corresponding carbon mixed one (Fig. 5b). It means the carbon coated samples have better effects in reducing interfacial impedance than the carbon mixed samples. The EIS plots account for the increase of the first discharge capacity with the raise of carbon content, which is shown in Table 1. However, the further reduction of active material SiO₂ led to discharge capacity fade, resulting in the decrease of discharge capacity when the SiO₂ content is below 50%.

The charge-discharge profiles of carbon coated SiO₂ nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO₂ is shown in Fig. 6a. The first discharge capacity of the composite is 536 mAh g⁻¹, which is much higher than carbonaceous materials. The charging profile is very steep at potentials exceeding 1.5 V, but not flat as graphite. It owning to the large polarization of the glassy material derived from the SiO₂. The Si generated during the first conversion cycle has poor conductivity and hinders the kinetics of Li alloying, though the active material particle size distribution is around 20 nm. There are two plateaus at 0.69 V and 0.15 V in first discharge curve (Fig. 6a), corresponding to the two peaks in cyclic voltammogram (Fig. 6b). The peak at 0.69 V is associated with the electrolyte decomposition and the formation of the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer, which contributes about 100 mAh g⁻¹ to the total irreversible capacity of the cell (ca. 355 mAh g^{-1}). The other peak at 0.15 V is related to electrochemical reactions between lithium ions and SiO₂ in the composite and contributing the other irreversible capacity. This electrochemi-



Fig. 6. The charge–discharge profiles (a) and cyclic voltammogram (b) of carbon coated SiO₂ nanoparticles with 50.1% SiO₂ of first two cycles.

cal reaction involves amorphous nano-SiO₂ being reduced to Si and forming amorphous Li₂O or crystalline Li₄SiO₄ [22]. These reactions can be summarized as follows:

 $SiO_2 + 4Li^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2Li_2O + Si$ ^(1a)

 $2SiO_2 + 4Li^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow Li_4SiO_4 + Si \tag{1b}$

$$Si + xLi^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow Li_xSi$$
 (2)

As both reaction (1a) and (1b) were irreversible, the formation of SEI layer and SiO₂ reduction accounted for irreversible capacity.

Fig. 7 shows cycling performance of carbon-coated SiO₂ particles with different carbon contents. It is found that the cycle life improved with the increase of carbon content, because the carbon matrix can provide a stable structure to buffer the drastic volume changes during discharge and charge process. The composite with 50.1% SiO₂ shows the best storage capacity, which remains above 500 mAh g^{-1} at 50th cycle. The reasons for the good cyclability of the composite could be attributed to that nano-sized of particle greatly decreases the volume changes in lithium ion insertion and extraction, and meanwhile, Li2O or Li₄SiO₄ formed in the first discharge process results in an inert matrix which can support and disperse active Si domains, and accommodate volume changes during the alloying and dealloying reactions with lithium ions. The coated carbon layer might also act as the constrained force for volume change during cycling.



Fig. 7. Cycling performance of carbon coated SiO_2 particles with different carbon contents.

4. Conclusions

Carbon-coated SiO₂ nanoparticles prepared by nesting nanosized SiO₂ particles in carbon cage. It showed high storage capacity and good cyclability. The results indicate that coated carbon could lead to lower interfacial impedance and higher storage capacity. The composite with 50.1% SiO₂ gives the largest storage capacity, which remains above 500 mAh g⁻¹ at 50th cycle. The good cyclability of the C-SiO₂ composite attributes to that nano-sized of particle and Li₂O or Li₄SiO₄ formed during first discharge process alleviates the volume change in lithium ion insertion and extraction. Besides, the coated carbon layer restrain electrode pulverized during charge and discharge processes.

References

- [1] J.L. Tirado, Mater. Sci. Eng. R40 (2003) 103-136.
- [2] T. Morishita, T. Hirabayashi, T. Okuni, N. Ota, M. Inagaki, J. Power Sources 160 (2006) 638-644.
- [3] B.K. Guo, J. Shu, K. Tang, Y. Bai, Z.X. Wang, L.Q. Chen, J. Power Sources 177 (2008) 205–210.
- [4] Z.S. Wen, J. Yang, B.F. Wang, K. Wang, Y. Liu, Electrochem. Commun. 5 (2003) 165–168.
- [5] N. Dimov, S. Kugino, M. Yoshio, Electrochim. Acta 48 (2003) 1579-1587.
- [6] T. Hasegawa, S.R. Mukai, Y. Shirato, H. Tamon, Carbon 42 (2004) 2573-2579.
- [7] V.G. Khomenko, V.Z. Barsukov, Electrochim. Acta 52 (2007) 2829–2840.
- [8] Z. Lao, D.D. Fan, X.L. Lin, H.Y. Mao, C.F. Yao, Z.Y. Deng, J. Power Sources 189 (2009) 16–21.
- [9] Y. Idota, T. Kubota, A. Matsufuji, Y. Maekawa, T. Miyasaka, Science 276 (1997) 1395.
- [10] I.A. Courtney, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 2045.
- [11] H. Morimoto, M. Tatsumisago, T. Minami, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 4 (2001) A16.
- [12] J. Read, D. Foster, J. wolfenstine, W. Behl, J. Power Sources 96 (2001) 277-281.
- [13] L. Yuan, K. Konstantinov, G.X. Wang, H.K. Liu, S.X. Dou, J. Power Sources 146 (2005) 180–184.
- [14] G.X. Wang, Y. Chen, K. Konstantinov, J. Yao, J. Ahn, H.K. Liu, S.X. Dou, J. Alloys Compd. 340 (2002) L5–L10.
- [15] Y. Lu, Y. Wang, Y. Zou, Z. Jiao, B. Zhao, Y.Q. He, M.H. Wu, Electrochem. Commun. 12 (2010) 101–105.
- [16] J. Yang, Y. Takeda, N. Imanishi, C. Capiglia, J.Y. Xie, O. Yamamoto, Solid State Ionics 125 (2002) 152–153.
- [17] T. Kim, S. Park, S.M. Oh, J. Electrochem. Soc. 154 (2007) A1112.
- [18] X.L. Yang, P.C. Zhang, Z.Y. Wen, L.L. Zhang, J. Alloys Compd. 496 (2010) 403–406.
 [19] W. Xing, A.M. Wilson, K. Eguchi, G. Zank, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 2410.
- [20] D. Ahn, R. Raj, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 2179-2186.
- [21] B. Gao, S. Sinha, L. Fleming, O. Zhou, Adv. Mater. 13 (2001) 816.
- [22] B.K. Guo, J. Shu, Z.X. Wang, H. Yang, L.H. Shi, Y. Liu, L.Q. Chen, Electrochem. Commun. 10 (2008) 1876–1878.
- [23] Z.P. Guo, D.Z. Jia, L. Yuan, H.K. Liu, J. Power Sources 159 (2006) 332-335.